





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **United States Patent and Trademark Office**

D9

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATT	ORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/280,54	1 03/30/	99 HO			J	P55657
			_	٦	EXA	MINER
008439			TM02/0410	•		
ROBERT E. BUSHNELL					NGUYEN, K	
1522 K STREET NW					ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 300						X
WASHINGTON DC 20005-1202					2674	O
•					DATE MAILED:	
						04/10/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/280,541	HO, JAE-ICK
omee reason cammary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kevin M. Nguyen	2674
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatior - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the n earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	DN. R 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become AB	reply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	11 January 2001	
	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice un	lowance except for formal mat	tters, prosecution as to the merits is D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the applica	ation.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claims are subject to restriction an	nd/or election requirement.	
Application Papers	, .	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	minor	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are object		diagnassis
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by th		disapproved.
, -	e Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. 💲 119		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. 🕏	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
 Certified copies of the priority docum 	ents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received in Ap	oplication No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the papelication from the International	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	G
* See the attached detailed Office action for a		
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for do	omestic priority under 35 U.S.	J. § 119(e).
_		
ttachment(s)		

Application/Control Number: 09/280,541

Art Unit: 2674

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. The amendment filed on 01/11/2001 is entered. However, Claims 1-20 have been rejected in view of the newly discovered prior art of Anderson et al. (6,108,787) and Merrell (4,263,647) below.
- 2. The corrected or substitute drawings were received on 01/11/2001. These drawings are approved.

Specification

3. The substitute specification filed 01/11/2001 has not been entered because it does not conform to 37 CFR 1.125(b) because: amendment to the specification is too long. Need a new substitute to specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 5. Claims 1, 4 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Clement (US Patent No. 5,726,668).
- 6. As to claim 1, Clement teaches a manufacturing process control system 62 and display system 42, 44, 46 which includes any of a variety of data input devices 76, 78 and 80 (column 5, lines 19-20), Bulb Driver Board 100 (fig. 22 and 21, col. 16, lines 59-67), I/O interfaces 70, 72, 74 (column 5, lines 12-13), the controller for each of UART

Application/Control Number: 09/280,541

Art Unit: 2674

Channel A (the primary communication path), UART Channel B (the secondary communication path) (column 11, lines 16-24).

- 7. As to claim 4, Clement teaches a CPU 180 (col. 15, line 1) including a programmable logic device (PLD) 184 is programmed to server as the address decoder and logic decoder for the two channel (column 15, lines 4-6).
- 8. As to claim 9, Clement teaches a particular alarm (fig. 13, col. 14, line 20).
- 9. Claims 2, 3 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Clement in view of Anderson et al. (6,108,787).
- 10. As to claims 2, 3 and 6, Clement teaches all of the claimed limitations of claim 1, except for the inputting devices and a switch to select one of the mouse and the scanner. However, Anderson et al. teaches a computer network system including A further port 30 connects the switch means 20 to an information input device 32 which in this embodiment comprises a keyboard but which may also be a pointing device (mouse or a keyboard and mouse combination), a scanner, or any other form of information input device suitable for the task at hand (fig. 3, col. 6, lines 49-54). It would have been obvious to utilize a switch to select one of the mouse and the scanner taught by Anderson in the display data channel of Clement's system because would allow the user to select the information data between computer networks having different of display (col. 1, lines 6-8 of Anderson).
- 11. Claims 5, 7, 8, 10, 11 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Clement in view of Merrell et al. (4,263,647).

Application/Control Number: 09/280,541 Page 4

Art Unit: 2674

12. As to claims 5 and 17, Clement teaches all of the claimed limitation of claim 1, except for a Zener diode, a transistor, a relay and LED. However, Merrell teaches a related monitor control system including a Zener diode 571, switch 200 corresponds to transistor as claimed (figure 6), a relay coil 574 (figure 18), and LED 172 and 177 (figure 6). It would have been obvious to utilize a Zener diode 571, switch 200, a relay coil 574, and LED 172 taught by Merrell in the display data channel of Clement's system because this would allow the user to insures that the controlled machine tool is brought to a stop and the operator alert (col. 1, lines 50-51 of Marrell).

- 13. As to claims 7 and 8, Merrell teaches a watch dog timer 170 (fig.6) to determine a first predetermined time and a second predetermined time (col. 25, lines 45-50).
- 14. As to claim 10, Merrell teaches a reset switch 581 is connected in parallel with the relay contacts 578 and is mounted on the pendent control station 2 (col. 29, lines 20-23).
- 15. As to claim 11, Merrell teaches main processor controls the relay coil (figure 18).
- 16. As to claims 12-20, refer to the previous rejections as applied to claims 1-11
- 17. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 18. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO-892 form.
- 19. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin M. Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-

Application/Control Number: 09/280,541

Art Unit: 2674

6209. The examiner can normally be reached on MON-FRI from 9:00-5:00 with alternate Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard A Hjerpe can be reached on 703-305-4709. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-9051 for regular communications and 703-308-6606 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-4700.

Kevin M. Nguyen Examiner Art Unit 2674

KN April 6, 2001

> RICHARD HJERPE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600